

### Jets reconstruction and b-tagging: lessons learned and new strategies

**Lorenzo Sestini INFN-Padova** 

**On behalf of the Muon Collider Detector and Physics group** 

Muon Collider Collaboration - Annual meeting - CERN- 12/10/2022



International

JON Collider

ollaboration



Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare





### Jet reconstruction at the Muon Collider

- Jet reconstruction is fundamental at the muon collider experiment, few examples:
  - $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  main Higgs decay chanel
  - Semileptonic (leptons+jets) final states of ZZ and WW are often the best channels in terms of purity and significance
- The environment for the jet reconstruction is quite unique compared to hadron colliders and e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> colliders:
  - The presence of the Beam-Induced Background poses several challenges









- **Reconstruction** in this environment is not trivial:
  - the high hit multiplicity from the BIB in the vertex detector/tracking modules produces a significant combinatorial problem
  - A diffused BIB background is present in the calorimeters
  - The nozzles, that are fundamental for BIB mitigation, reduce the acceptance in the forward region

Most of the BIB particles are asynchronous with respect to the bunch crossing: timing is crucial for reconstruction

ECA

### **Challenges in jet reconstruction**



### **Combinatorial tracks from BIB**

HCAL

**BIB** hits in calorimeters

F	N
isica	Nucleare





- Jet reconstruction algorithm is a "classical" **Particle Flow** algorithm
- However several strategies should be applied in order to mitigate the effect of the BIB







## **BIB mitigation: tracking**

- Tracking strategy already described in the previous talk. ACTS tracking is used for jet reconstruction and requirements on the hit time are applied as already discussed
- In this way a combinatorial of 100k-200k tracks per bunch crossing (!) is obtained
- In the context of jet reconstruction, extra requirements on the number of hits in the Vertex Detector and Inner Tracker are applied: combinatorial is reduced to O(100) tracks











- Time requirements are also applied to calorimeter hits
- A (pretty high) threshold of 2 MeV is applied to the calorimeter hits: in this way most of the BIB hits are removed.
- A discussion on the optimization of this threshold will be done later

### **BIB mitigation: calorimeters**









# **BIB mitigation: fake jet removal**

- Of about O(10) fake jets per bunch crossing are found
- Most of them are produced by the BIB calorimeter hits combinatorial
- A requirement on the number of tracks (>0) in the jet is applied to reduce them to a negligible level













- A jet energy correction is obtained by comparing the reconstructed jets with the truth-level jets
- The jet energy correction depends on the jet transverse momentum and on the jet direction



### Jet energy correction









### • Jet axis direction (= jet momentum direction) is reconstructed with a precision of 1.7% on $\theta$



### Jet performance: direction







- removed by the BIB mitigation, resulting in many signal jets with just calorimeter clusters



### Jet performance: efficiency



### • Jet reconstruction efficiency is low (30%) in the forward region and high (90%) in the central region

• This is mainly due to the fake jet removal: in the forward region tracks are inefficiently reconstructed or





- Jet  $p_T$  resolution for b-jets goes from 35% (low  $p_T$ ) to 20% (high  $p_T$ )
- Nevertheless it is sufficient to separate  $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  from  $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  with statistical techniques (discussed in <u>next talk</u>)







• At the moment it is more similar to the jet energy resolution we have at hadron colliders, while at e+e- colliders it is better





# Jet performance: flavour

- The jet reconstruction has been tested with physics samples of **different jet** flavours
- The jet energy resolution is of the same order but with relevant differences in many bins of p<sub>T</sub>
- Since these are physics samples, they have different production processes and the angular distribution is very different between them

resolution









### The current algorithm used for the b-jet identification is the Secondary Vertex (SV) tagging



 $D_0 =$ longitudinal impact parameter  $Z_0$  = transverse impact parameter

• Max. values for D<sub>0</sub>, Z<sub>0</sub>



### Secondary Vertex Finding

- Two-tracks vertices with invariant mass < 10 GeV
- Iteratively add tracks, on the basis of track-vertex  $\chi^2$ requirements









- For the SV finding, tracks are reconstructed with the **Conformal Tracking algorithm**
- Track requirements are tuned in order to remove the combinatorial from **BIB**
- By requiring p<sub>T</sub>>0.8 GeV 80% of BIB tracks are rejected, while retaining 85-90% of b- and c-hadron tracks



### **Secondary Vertex: track selection**









- In measurements with b-jets, it is useful to reduce the c-jets background
- SV features can be used to achieve this task
- The SV proper lifetime (т) is one of the most discriminant features
- A cut on  $\tau > 0.2$  ns rejects 30% of cjets while keeping 90% of b-jets



## **Separating b-jets from c-jets**











- b-tagging efficiency goes from 30% (forward region) to 65-70% (central region)
- As for the jet reconstruction, the low efficiency in the forward region is due to tracking inefficiencies and tight requirements to reduce the BIB combinatorial



## **b-jet identification: efficiency**







# **b-jet identification: mistag**





- Light/fake jet mistag rate is under control, higher in the forward region
- The requirement on τ is not sufficient to reduce the c-jet mistag to a negligible level

ot et





- The high threshold at 2 MeV limits the performance on the jet energy resolution
- It can be demonstrated by reconstructing H->bb without the BIB, with thresholds of 2 MeV or 200 KeV



### **Prospects: calorimeter thresholds**



- However, with the BIB, when the threshold is reduced from 2 MeV to 1 MeV, the jet energy resolution worsen
- This is due to the fact that the accepted BIB energy is clustered in the jet, degrading the performance
- Tests with thresholds lower than 1 MeV are not easy, for the large computing time with many BIB calorimeter hits









## **Prospects: calorimeter thresholds**

- If we lower the threshold we would need other strategies to get rid of the BIB
- One idea could be exploit the longitudinal segmentation of the calorimeter
- The longitudinal distribution of hits/energy released in the calorimeters is pretty different between signal jets and BIB
- In particular most of BIB energy is released in the first layers









### **Prospects: new calorimeters**

- Different calorimeters technology should be tested (baseline ECAL W-Si, baseline HCAL Steel-plastic scintillator)
- As an example the Crilin ECAL has been used (semi-homogeneous with five layers of PbF2, see talk tomorrow)
- Performance in the same order of W-Si (with similar fake rate) but different reconstruction techniques could be employed







### **Prospects: efficiency and fake removal**

- In my view there are two way to improve the jet reconstruction efficiency in the forward region:

  - Exploit the jet substructure to remove fake jets
  - Longitudinal shape of calorimeter clusters?





• Improve track reconstruction in the forward region: many signal jets in the forward region does not have reconstructed tracks







- Secondary Vertex reconstruction would benefit from a tracking strategy optimized for displaced tracks
- The features of the SV should be studied in detail for improving the flavour separation



## **Prospects on jet identification**



- More advanced techniques that exploit not only SV information but also the jet substructure could be used
- Deep learning techniques could play a big role in this context







- well-known environments: interesting and important R&D!
- With the current algorithm/detector, the jet performance in the central region is at the level of hadron collider experiments, we need improvements in order to get closer to electron-positron colliders
- In the forward region we have low efficiencies, an intensive R&D for this region is necessary
- However the jet reconstruction algorithm available now can already be used to perform good measurements (check <u>next talk</u>)
- <u>There are many ideas and room for improvements</u>!



# The jet reconstruction at the Muon Collider poses challenges that are different from other





# **Thanks for your attention!**









# **Beam-induced background (BIB)**

- interactions
- two tungsten nozzles are inserted)
- is fundamental to study the impact of the BIB on the detector
- the machine and the Machine Detector Interface lattice









Talk on Muon

<u>calorimeter</u> by

<u>Collider</u>

Eleonora

Diociaiuti



### hadronic calorimeter

- 60 layers of 19-mm steel absorber + plastic scintillating tiles;
- 30x30 mm<sup>2</sup> cell size;
- 7.5 λ<sub>l</sub>.

### electromagnetic calorimeter

- 40 layers of 1.9-mm W absorber + silicon pad sensors;
- 5x5 mm<sup>2</sup> cell granularity;

• 22  $X_0 + 1 \lambda_1$ .

### muon detectors

- 7-barrel, 6-endcap RPC layers interleaved in the magnet's iron yoke;
- 30x30 mm<sup>2</sup> cell size.



The interaction of BIB/signal with the detector is simulated with Geant4

### Detector



### tracking system

- Vertex Detector:
  - double-sensor layers (4 barrel cylinders and 4+4 endcap disks);
  - 25x25 µm<sup>2</sup> pixel Si sensors.

### Inner Tracker: ٠

- 3 barrel layers and 7+7 endcap disks;
- 50 µm x 1 mm macropixel Si sensors.
- Outer Tracker:
  - 3 barrel layers and 4+4 endcap disks;
  - 50 µm x 10 mm microstrip Si sensors.

### shielding nozzles

Tungsten cones + borated polyethylene cladding.

superconducting solenoid (3.57T)



