Future/Incoming beam lines developments at PSI and physics cases

Angela Papa, PSI & UniPi-INFN
May 29th-31st 2023, Venice - IT
Muon4Future workshop

Contents

- PSI current beam lines
- PSI future beam line developments
  - **HiMB**
  - muCool
- Physics cases already associated to this NEW beams
  - Mu3e phase II
  - muEDM

Muon beams worldwide



Note: See the back-up
for a summary table

Muon beams worldwide associated to “present” experiments



PSI’s muon beams

- PSI delivers the most intense continuous (DC) low momentum (surface) muon beam in the world up to few x 10^8 mu/s (28 MeV/c, polarised beam (**Intensity Frontiers**)



590 MeV proton
ring cyclotron
1.4 MW



Proton RF ~ 50 MHz
“DC” muon beam

“Muon” Target “E”
production



MEGII / Mu3e Experimental area

The MEGII and Mu3e beam lines

- MEGII and Mu3e (phase I) similar beam requirements:
  - **Intensity O(10^8 muon/s), low momentum p = 28 MeV/c**
  - **Small straggling and good identification of the decay region**
- MEG II beam settings released since 2019. More then 10^8 μ^+/s can be transport into Cobra (up to 1.6e8@2.2 mA during the 2022 beam time)



The MEGII

The MEGII and Mu3e beam lines

- MEGII and Mu3e (phase I) similar beam requirements:
  - **Intensity O(10^8 muon/s), low momentum p = 28 MeV/c**
  - **Small straggling and good identification of the decay region**
- A dedicated compact muon beam line (CMBL) sharing a large fraction of the native piE5&MEG elements will serve Mu3e
  - Proof-of-Principle: Delivered 8 x 10^7 μ^+/s during 2016 test beam (up to 1e8@2.4 mA during the 2022 beam time with the full assembled Mu3e beam line [at the center of the Mu3e magnet])



The Mu3e

HiMB motivations

- Current beam intensity: Up to 5 x 10^8 μ^+/s (the highest intensity DC μ^+ beam)

- HiMB's Aim: O(**10^{10}** muon/s); Surface (positive) muon beam (**p = 28 MeV/c**); **DC** beam
- Time schedule: Long Shut-Down **2027-2028**

- Next generation cLFV experiments require higher muon rates
- New opportunities for future muon (particle physics) based experiments (i.e. the new muEDM project@PSI)
- New opportunities for μSR experiments

- Different experiments demand for a variety of beam characteristics:
  - DC vs pulsed
  - Momentum depends on applications: stopped beams require low momenta

- Here focus on **DC low momenta muon beams**

- Maintain PSI leadership in DC low momentum high intensity muon beams

Fermilab →5×10^{10} μ^-/s
Mu2e: $R_{\mu e} = \mathcal{O}(10^{-17})$

J-PARC →10^{10} μ^-/s
COMET: $R_{\mu e} = \mathcal{O}(10^{-17})$

cLFV



muEDM



δ= electric dipole moment (EDM)
μ= magnetic dipole moment (MDM)

PSI's muon beams







- Muon beams: **secondary** beam lines
- Low-energy muon beam lines typically tuned to surface-μ^+ at ~ 28 MeV/c
- **Note:** surface-μ —> polarised positively charged muons (spin antiparallel to the momentum)
- Contribution from cloud muons at similar momentum about 100x smaller
- Negative muons only available as cloud muons

How the beam intensity can be increased…

How the beam intensity can be increased…



How the beam intensity can be increased…

2. “at the target production”

4. “at the beam dump”

1. “at the source”



3. “at the beam line”

How the beam intensity can be increased…

Always looking for —> Relative “simple”, “easy”, “fast” and “cheap” solutions

At the target:

- Optimised Target: **Alternative materials** and/or different geometry
  - Search for high pion yield materials -> higher muon yield
  - Either increasing the surface volume (surface area times acceptance depth) or the pion stop density near the surface



$$\text{relative } \mu^+ \text{yield} \propto \pi^+ \text{stop density} \cdot \mu^+ \text{Range} \cdot \text{length}$$

$$\propto n \cdot \sigma_{\pi^+} \cdot SP_{\pi^+} \cdot \frac{1}{SP_{\mu^+}} \cdot \frac{\rho_C (6/12)_C}{\rho_x (Z/A)_x}$$

$$\propto Z^{1/3} \cdot Z \cdot \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \frac{1}{Z}$$

$$\propto \frac{1}{Z^{2/3}}$$



At the target:

- Optimised Target: Alternative materials and/or **different geometry**
  - Search for high pion yield materials -> higher muon yield
  - Either increasing the surface volume (surface area times acceptance depth) or the pion stop density near the surface

- Several materials have pion yields > 2x Carbon
- Relative muon yield favours low-Z materials, but difficult to construct as a target
- B_4C and Be_2C show 10-15% gain

Note: Each geometry was required to preserve, as best as possible, the proton beam characteristics down-stream of the target station (spallation neutron source requirement)



Slanted target: First test at the end of 2019

- Expect 30-60 % enhancement
- Measurements performed in three directions (forward / backward / sideways direction)
- **Increased muon yield CONFIRMED [a bit even better for muE4/piE5 wrt the simulated ones]!**
- **Target E as slanted target configuration since second part of 2020**







Slanted target: Impact

- Impact of the optimised target:
  - Put into perspective the target optimisation only, corresponding to **50%** of muon beam intensity gain, would corresponds to effectively raising the proton beam power at PSI by **650 kW**, equivalent to a beam power of almost **2 MW** without the additional complications such ad increased energy and radiation deposition into the target and its surroundings







The HiMB target: TgH

- **Final position for the HiMB target: “Present" Target M location**
- ~90° extraction to existing experimental areas
- Large phase space acceptance solenoidal channel





Along the beam line

- Optimised beam line: **increased capture** and transmission
  - Two normal-conducting, radiation-hard solenoids close to target to capture surface muons
    - Field at target ~0.1 T
    - Magnetic field up to 0.45 T
    - Graded field solenoid to improve the muon collection: Stronger at capture side



Along the beam line

- Optimised beam line: **increased capture** and transmission
  - Two normal-conducting, radiation-hard solenoids close to target to capture surface muons
    - Field at target ~0.1 T
    - Magnetic field up to 0.45 T
    - Graded field solenoid to improve the muon collection: Stronger at capture side





Along the beam line

- Optimised beam line: increased capture and **transmission**
  - A quasi “pure” solenoidal beam line to increase the transmission



MUH2 and MUH3 beamlines





- ~90° extraction with first bend in upstream direction
- MUH2 for particle physics
- MUH3 for muSR research [H. Luetkens's talk]

Example: Expected performance of MUH2

- Transmitted rates to the end of the beamline at 2.4 mA proton current
  - ~1.0 x10^{10} μ^+/s at 28 MeV/c
  - Beam spot final focus: $\sigma_x = \sigma_y \sim 40$ mm
  - Positron contamination at highest muon rate 20-30% (can be further reduced at a cost of a small loss in muon rate)
- Robust results using different optimisation strategies





At the target + along the beam line

- Optimised beam line: increased capture and transmission

  - Two normal-conducting, radiation-hard solenoids close to target to capture surface muons
    - Central field of solenoids ~0.35 T
    - Field at target ~0.1 T

  - A quasi "pure" solenoidal beam line to increase the transmission

HIMB project at PSI. Aim: O($\mathbf{10^{10}}$ muon/s); Surface (positive) muon beam ($\mathbf{p = 28\ MeV/c}$); DC beam





A quick departure: The HiMB project at the beam dump

- Source simulation (below safety window):
  9 x 10^{10} surface-μ^+/s @ 1.7 mA I_p
- Profit from stopping of full beam
- Residual proton beam (~1 MW) dumped on SINQ

- Replace existing quadrupoles with solenoids:
  - Preserve proton beam footprint
  - Capture backward travelling surface muons
- Extract muons in Dipole fringe field
- Backward travelling pions stopped in beam window

- Capturing turned out to be difficult :
  - Large phase space (divergence & 'source' extent)
  - Capture solenoid aperture needed to be increased, but constrained by moderator tank
- High radiation level close to target

- Due these constraints and after several iterations with different capturing elements:
  - **Not enough captures muons to make an high intensity beam**
  - **Alternative solution: HiMB @ EH**

SINQ spallation target





MuSIC's muon beams

MuSIC beamline



- Thick target (200mmt)
- Large solid angle, good collection efficiency
- No muon spin selection (no selection of pion / muon momentum)

- Aim: O(**10^8** muon/s); Surface (positive) muon beam (**p = 28 MeV/c**); **DC** beam



MuSIC
M1 Beam line

Ring
Cyclotron
~392MeV
(variable)
1.1uA
proton,
(0.4kW)



- proton beam energy is only 100 MeV above pion production threshold ($\sim 2m_\pi$)
- muon source with low proton power (1.1 uA ~0.4kW, 5 uA in future)

Beneficiaries in the incoming future…

See: Y. Fuji's talk

Summary: The Mu3e experiment at PSI

- The Mu3e experiment aims to search for $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ e^+ e^-$ with a sensitivity of **~10^{-15}** (Phase I) up to down **~10^{-16}** (Phase II)
  - **Phase II at 10^9 μ^+/s**
- Previous upper limit BR(μ+ → e+ e+ e-) ≤ 1 x 10^{-12} @90 C.L. by SINDRUM experiment)
- Observables (Ee, te, vertex) to characterize μ→ eee events





See: G. Lospalluto’s talk

Summary: The muCool project at PSI

- Aim: low energy high-brightness muon beam
- Phase space reduction based on: dissipative energy loss in matter (He gas) and position dependent drift of muon swarm
- Increase in brightness by a factor **10^{10}** with an efficiency of O(**10^{-4}**)
- Longitudinal and transverse compression (1st stage + 2nd stage): experimentally proved
- **Next Step**: Extraction into vacuum
- Current activity: abundant MC simulations in order to define the detailed experimental setup for the beam extraction in vacuum and eventually the beam re-acceleration





$$\vec{v}_{drift} = \frac{\mu E}{1+\left(\frac{\omega}{\nu_{col}}\right)^2}\left[\hat{\mathbf{E}} + \frac{\omega}{\nu_{col}}\hat{\mathbf{E}}\times\hat{\mathbf{B}} + \left(\frac{\omega}{\nu_{col}}\right)^2\left(\hat{\mathbf{E}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{B}}\right)\hat{\mathbf{B}}\right]$$







Transverse Compression





Longitudinal Compression





Longitudinal+ Transverse Compression





See: P. Schmidt-Wellenburg's talk

Summary: muEDM with the Frozen spin and longitudinal injection



p=125 MeV/c [muE1]

- μ^+ from Pion–decay → high polarization $p \approx 95\%$
- Injection through superconducting channel
- Fast scintillator triggers pulse
- Magnetic pulse stops longitudinal motion of μ^+
- Weakly focusing field for storage
- Thin electrodes provide electric field for frozen spin
- Pixelated detectors for e^+– tracking

Beneficiaries in the incoming future…

- …inputs from this workshop

Outlook

- Next generation on muon based experiments require higher muon rates
  - New opportunities for future muon (particle physics) based experiments
  - New opportunities for μSR experiments
- Different experiments demand for a variety of beam characteristics:
  - DC vs pulsed
  - Momentum depends on applications: stopped beams require low momenta
  - Phase space
- Beam with different characteristics are/will be available worldwide

Dal Maso G. et al. (HiMB group)
(EPJ Web of Conferences **282**, 01012 (2023) *SSP 2022*
https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/202328201012)

Credits and acknowledgments

- **The IMPACT project at PSI**
- The muCool project at PSI
- The MEGII collaboration
- The Mu3e collaboration
- The muEDM collaboration
- …

TgE: A few details





Momentum spectrum of the relevant particles produced at TgH



Muon beams worldwide summary

Laboratory	Beam Line	DC rate (μ/sec)	Pulsed rate (μ/sec)
PSI (CH) (590 MeV, 1.3 MW)	$\mu E4, \pi E5$ HiMB at EH	$2 \div 4 \times 10^8$ (μ^+) $\mathcal{O}(10^{10})$ (μ^+) (>2018)	
J-PARC (Japan) (3 GeV, 210 kW) (8 GeV, 56 kW)	MUSE D-Line MUSE U-Line COMET		$3 \times 10^7 (\mu^+)$ $6.4 \times 10^7 (\mu^+)$ $1 \times 10^{11} (\mu^-)$(2020)
FNAL (USA) (8 GeV, 25 kW)	Mu2e		$5 \times 10^{10} (\mu^-)$(2020)
TRIUMF (Canada) (500 MeV, 75 kW)	M13, M15, M20	$1.8 \div 2 \times 10^6 (\mu^+)$	
RAL-ISIS (UK) (800 MeV, 160 kW)	EC/RIKEN-RAL		$7 \times 10^4 (\mu^-)$ $6 \times 10^5 (\mu^+)$
KEK (Tsukuba, Japan) (500 MeV, 25 kW)	Dai Omega		$4 \times 10^5 (\mu^+)$(2020)
RCNP (Osaka, Japan) (400 MeV, 400 W)	MuSIC	$10^4 (\mu^-) \div 10^5 (\mu^+)$ $10^7 (\mu^-) \div 10^8 (\mu^+)$(>2018)	
JINR (Dubna, Russia) (660 MeV, 1.6 kW)	Phasotron	$10^5 (\mu^+)$	
RISP (Korea) (600 MeV, 0.6 MW)	RAON	$2 \times 10^8 (\mu^+)$(>2020)	
CSNS (China) (1.6 6eV, 4 kW)	HEPEA	$1 \times 10^8 (\mu^+)$(>2020)	

Mu3e: Latest news and currents status

Key points:

- **First integration Run 2021**
- Inner MuPix layer
- SciFi ribbons
- Sub-detector services

- **Full beam line commissioning 2022**
- Very successful: TDR promised values **matched!**
  - **2.49e10^8 mu/s @2.4 mA** (at the collimator): The highest beam rate in pie5 at the collimator
  - **1.02e10^8 mu/s @2.4 mA** (Mu3e magnet): Several beam configurations studied, some of them connected with possible Mu3e magnetic field intensity optimisation

Outlook:

- Cosmic Ray Run ongoing outside the experimental area with all sub-detector services
- MuPix mass production: ongoing
- Complete integration run: 2023
- Engineering run: 2024
- First physics run: 2025







Full analysis performed on Simulated data



Beam commissioning **2022**



2.49e10^8 mu/s @2.4 mA